RESEARCH





Modeling the distribution of Aloe ankoberensis and A. debrana under different climate change scenarios in North Shewa Zone, Amhara National Regional State, Ethiopia

Haile Abebe¹, Anteneh Belayneh Desta^{2*}¹ and Sintayehu Workneh Dejene¹

Abstract

Background Aloe ankoberensis M.G. Gilbert & Sebsebe and A. debrana Christian are Ethiopian endemic species currently classified as endangered and least concern, respectively under International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) categories. Recent studies indicate that climate change is anticipated to significantly influence the distribution of plant species. Therefore, this study aimed to model the distribution of A. ankoberensis and A. debrana under different climate change scenarios in the North Shewa Zone, Amhara National Regional State of Ethiopia. Thirty-six and 397 georeferenced presence points for A. ankoberensis and A. debrana, respectively, and 12 environmental variables were used to simulate their current and future distributions. The ensemble model approach was used to examine the current and future (2050 and 2070) climatic suitability for both species under three shared socio-economic pathway (SSP) climate scenarios (SSP 2.6, 4.5 and 8.5).

Results The performance of ensemble model was excellent for *A. ankoberensis* with score of area under curve (AUC) 0.96 and true skill statistics (TSS) 0.88, and good for A. debrana with score of AUC 0.87 and TSS 0.63. The main variables that affected the species' distributions were mean diurnal range of temperature, annual precipitation, and elevation. According to the model, under the current climate conditions, 98.32%, 1.01%, 0.52%, and 0.15% were not suitable, lowly, moderately, and highly suitable areas, respectively for A. ankoberensis, and 63.89%, 23.35%, 12.54%, and 0.21% were not suitable, lowly, moderately and highly suitable areas, respectively for A. debrana. Under future climate scenarios, suitable habitats of these species could shrink. In addition, under all climate change scenarios, it is anticipated that highly suitable areas for both species and moderately suitable areas for A. ankoberensis will be lost completely in the future unless crucial interventions are done on time.

Conclusions The results indicate that the future may witness a decline in suitable habitat for A. ankoberensis and A. debrana, which leads to increasing threat of extinction. Therefore, it is crucial to develop a conservation plan and enhance climate change adaptation strategies to mitigate the loss of suitable habitats for these highland and sub-Afroalpine endemic *Aloe* species.

Keywords Biodiversity, Endemic Aloe, Environmental variable, Habitat suitability, Species distribution, Species extinction

*Correspondence: Anteneh Belayneh Desta anthil2005@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Introduction

Climate change has now taken a serious place on the global common agendum. By 2100, the atmospheric CO_2 concentration would have doubled, and the pattern of precipitation would have changed, causing an increase in the global average temperature of 1.4 to 5.8 °C (IPCC 2014). The greenhouse gases (GHGs) like CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O concentrations will rise by 47%, 156%, and 23%, respectively (IPCC 2021). This change is impairing biodiversity and associated ecosystem services (Sintayehu 2018; Shambel et al. 2022). The IPBES-IPCC (2021) report stated that changes in abiotic conditions, the physical environment, atmospheric GHGs concentrations, and species compositions that led to shifts in species ranges are all impacts of climate change. Additionally, it has an impact on the phenology, distribution, architecture, and intraspecific and/or interspecific competitions of plant species (Kumar et al. 2017; Sintayehu 2018). Climate change could also lead to land use and land cover change (LULCC) (IPBES-IPCC 2021). The LULCC contributed to higher emission of carbon, fragmentation of habitats, and deterioration of ecosystem services which had resulted in hampered and threatened biodiversity and other ecosystem resources (Asnake and Amare 2019; Temesgen et al. 2022).

The climate change impacts are resulting in several ecosystem dynamics so that the research arena has initiated diverse modeling approach like species distribution models (SDMs). The SDMs are a modern approach to investigate the potential impacts of climate change on biodiversity under various global change scenarios (Kaky and Gilbert 2017). As global temperatures continue to rise, SDMs are an appropriate tool for identifying threatened species, which are mostly at risk of extinction (Boral and Moctan 2021). In addition, SDMs relate occurrence point of species and spatially explicit environmental data such as precipitation, temperature, elevation, population, soil type, and land use/cover to predict species distributions in space and time (Elith and Leathwick 2009; Borzée et al. 2019). They are often used for the management of threatened species (Hu et al. 2015; Kumar and Stohlgren 2009), management of invasive species (Eckert et al. 2020; Sintayehu et al. 2021), evaluating the impacts of climate change (Banda and Nega 2018; Sintayehu et al. 2020a, b, c), ecological restorations (Riordan et al. 2018), and conservation planning (Meyer 2017). Several algorithms have been used in SDMs (Elith et al. 2011; Barbet-Massin et al. 2012). To reduce uncertainty inherent and to produce better accuracy in species distribution prediction, an ensemble model approach was used (Meller et al. 2014; Breiner et al. 2015).

The genus *Aloe* are among the richest genera of plant species in Ethiopia. There are about 46 species of *Aloe*

and three subspecies, of which 67.3% are endemic that makes the country one of the known centers of Aloe diversity in the world (Edwards et al. 1997; Sebsebe and Nordal 2010; Sebsebe et al. 2011). Aloe species have potential values for medicinal, social, environmental, materials, and food use (Bjorå et al. 2015; Bula and Baressa 2017; Eshetu et al. 2020), of which medicinal uses accounted for the highest percentage in Ethiopia and elsewhere in the world (Steenkamp and Stewart 2007; Bjorå et al. 2015; Zahra et al. 2019; Anteneh et al. 2020;). Aloe ankoberensis and A. debrana are among the endemic Aloe species of Ethiopia (Edwards et al. 1997; Sebsebe and Nordal 2010). Though, Aloes are a keystone species of succulent perennial plants with the capacity to withstand drought and high temperatures (Sebsebe and Nordal 2010), the current climate change could have affected the distribution and population of Aloes and other endemic species in Ethiopia.

In Ethiopia, studies on historical climate variability reveal that the average annual temperature has risen by 0.6 to 0.8 °C, while there has not been a significant change in average annual precipitation, albeit with a tendency for a decrease in the central part of the country and an increase in other regions (EPCC 2015). Projections indicate that by the end of the twenty-first century, the average annual temperature will rise by 1, 2, and 5 °C under representative concentration pathways (RCP) scenarios (2.6, 4.5, and 8.5), respectively, accompanied by a corresponding 4 to 12% increase in average annual precipitation compared to 1975-2005 (EPCC 2015). A. ankoberensis and A. debrana are likely affected by these climate changes. In addition, habitat loss due to agriculture and infrastructure expansions, and increasing rate of settlements poses major threats to the stability of Aloe species' wild population in their ecosystems (Eshetu et al. 2020). The IUCN conservation status indicated that A. ankoberensis was endangered (Weber and Sebsebe 2013a) while A. debrana was under least concern categories (Weber and Sebsebe 2013b) and qualify categories with Red List. These data coupled with the recent higher rate of local commercial exploitation may aggravate the wild population status of these Aloe species in their natural habitat. Understanding how A. ankoberensis and A. debrana respond to these threats is crucial for designing sustainable and effective conservation strategies. Therefore, the objectives of this study are to: (1) identify and map current and future suitable areas for A. ankoberensis and A. debrana under different climate change scenarios, (2) detect the change in suitability area for both species under different climate change scenarios, and (3) assess impacts of climate change on the distribution of Aloe species.

Materials and methods

Study area

This study was conducted in North Shewa Zone of Amhara National Regional State, Ethiopia. It is situated within 39° 0′ 0″ –40° 0′ 0″ E and 9° 0′ 00″ to 10° 0′ 00'' N and it covers total area of 16,172.52 km² (Fig. 1). Unpublished data from North Shewa Zone Agriculture Department Office (NSZADO) indicates that 38.86% of the area is plain, 23.4% rugged topography, 25.89% mountains, and 11.85% valleys (NSZADO 2020). The elevation ranges from 937 m above sea level at Berehet district (referred as Woreda in Ethiopia) (Nigate and Girma 2018) to 3700 m above sea level at Ankobere Woreda (NSZADO 2020). These elevation ranges are characterized by four major traditional agro-ecological zones namely: Kola at lowland (21.96%) Woina-Dega at midland (45.58%), Dega at highland (32.02%), and Wurch at alpine (0.46%) topographies (NSZADO 2020). The area is characterized by bimodal rainfall, long rainy season runs from June to mid-September locally called Kiremt (summer) and short rainy season between February and April locally called *Belg* (autumn) (Girma 2017). The mean annual rainfall ranges from 600 to 1250 mm and the mean annual temperatures range from 8.7 to 20 °C. At high altitudes, the wet season is characterized by a combination of rainfall, frequent fog and occasional snow, and the dry season is characterized by frost (Girma 2017). The predominant land use types were 38.54% of cultivated land, 14.13% of shrubland, 8.62% of forest land, 5.49% of grassland, 21.08% of settlement and other infrastructure, and 12.14% of bare land (NSZADO 2020). The study area is part of the Shewa floristic region of Central Ethiopia known to harbor many endemic plant species (Friis et al. 2010).

Study species

A. ankoberensis and *A. debrana* are among the endemic *Aloe* species of Ethiopia. They are found under order: Asparagales, family: Asphodelaceae and genus of *Aloe* Linneus (Edwards et al. 1997; Chase et al. 2016). The specific epithets '*ankoberensis*', refers to the place, *Ankober* and '*debrana*' refers to the locality *Debre Berhan* in Shewa floristic region of Ethiopia where the type collections and their descriptions have been based.

A. ankoberensis is pendant shrub with up to 6 m long stem hanging down cliffs. It has numerous leaves with 2–3 mm long; marginal spines of 7–9 per 10 cm; inflorescence with 1–6 cylindrical racemes of 6–18 cm long; perianth cylindrical and 35–40 mm long, 6–10 mm wide when pressed, bright orange red; pedicels is 6–25 mm before fruit and up to 10–30 mm including fruit and dark brown with pale round spots; and bracts ovate-lanceolate with acute tips (Edwards et al. 1997). It grows in sub-Afroalpine vegetation type, on cliffs or steep rocky



Fig. 1 Location map of the North Shewa Zone covered in this study

slopes (Fig. 2a) and with the elevation range of 3000 up to 3500 m above sea level (Friis et al. 2010; Sebsebe and Nordal 2010). The flowering period is from October to February (Sebsebe and Nordal 2010). Aloe debrana is a stemless Aloe characterized by suckers from the base to form small groups with very dense rosettes and dull green colored leaves of spreading and recurved. The leaves are $(25-60) \times (7.5-15)$ cm long with marginal teeth up to 7-14 per 10 cm with 2-4 mm long red tips. Inflorescence ca. 100 cm long, compoundly branched. perianth cylindrical and $(17-30) \times (4-6)$ mm when pressed; the pedicels become 10-15 mm before fruit and grow up to 17 mm long during fruit period; and bracts are ovate-triangular and scarious (Edwards et al. 1997). It is found in dry evergreen Afromontane forest and grassland complex vegetation type specifically in undifferentiated Afromontane forest, gentle slopes (Fig. 2b) and with the elevation range of 2000 up to 2700 m above sea level (Friis et al. 2010; Sebsebe and Nordal 2010). The flowering period is from December to February (Sebsebe and Nordal 2010).

A. ankoberensis is used for antimicrobial activity, prevention of soil erosion, honey bee plants, treatment of malaria, insect repellent, and wound healing (Eshetu et al. 2020; Nigus et al. 2020). *A. debrana* is used for treatment of poultry diseases in chickens, to massage broken bones, used as incense, thickening agent, and protection of a person from evil eyes (Sisay et al. 2013; Tigist et al. 2019; Eshetu et al. 2020). In addition, *A. debrana* leaf mesophyll is used in a thickening agent

(Sisay et al. 2013) and for treating sisal fiber for packing Ethiopian export coffee (e.g. www.gseventiplc.com).

Species occurrences data

The occurrences data for both species were obtained from the global databases such as Global Biodiversity Information Facility (www.gbif.org), IUCN (www.iucn. org); herbarium sheets of the National Herbarium at Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia; and field survey using global positioning system (GPS) that were conducted between November 2021 and June 2022. The recorded occurrences data were entered into Microsoft Excel and saved in comma-separated value format. A total of 76 and 665 georeferenced presence points for A. ankoberensis and A. debrana species, respectively were collected along the transect lines with a systematic sampling techniques targeted the two species. All points were mapped using ArcGIS for visual observation and to check spatial accuracy. To reduce spatial autocorrelation and to achieve good performance results, duplicated occurrence points at a distance of 1 km between each point were removed using "spthin" package (Dagnew et al. 2022). Finally, after removing the duplicated occurrence points 36 presence points of A. ankoberensis and 397 presence points of A. debrana, were selected to build the model. In addition, 1000 false absence points were generated for both species using random sampling to perform more reliable SDMs (Xu et al. 2021).



Fig. 2 A. ankoberensis (a) and A. debrana (b) growth form in their natural habitats

Environmental variables

A total of 23 environmental variables with 19 bioclimatic and 4 non-climatic variables were used in this study to understand their distribution under different climate change scenarios (Additional file 1). The present and future bioclimatic variables for the year 2050s and 2070s as well as elevation data were obtained from Worldclim database (www.worldclim.org) version 2.1 with 30 arc second spatial resolution (Fick and Hijmans 2017). The slope data were derived from elevation data. The current and future scenario prediction LULCC data were obtained from geographical simulation and optimization system (GeoSOS) global database (http://geosimulat ion.cn/GlobalLUCCproduct.html), and human population density data were obtained from global downscaled population projection grids (https://sedac.ciesin.colum bia.edu/data/sets/browse) at a resolution of 30 arc second (accessed on 9th July 2022). For future prediction, the second-generation Euro-Mediterranean Centre on Climate Change Earth System Model (CMCC-ESM2) from the CMIP6 general circulation models (GCMs) was selected for the year 2050 (2041-2060) and 2070 (2061-2080). This climate projection model has been widely applied in SDMs, and provide a good performance for Ethiopian environment (Dagnew et al. 2022). In addition, CMCC-ESM2 shows an equilibrium climate sensitivity of 3.57 °C and a transient climate response of 1.97 °C (Lovato et al. 2022). In this study, three shared socioeconomic pathways (SSP) namely low emission (SSP2.6), intermediate (SSP4.5), and high emission scenarios (SSP8.5) were used. SSP2.6 scenario is the most aggressive among all SSP in terms of GHG emissions reductions; SSP4.5 scenario is GHG emissions are roughly similar to the current emission and global average temperature tends to decrease with human intervention; and SSP8.5 is the worst-case emission scenario in that entails GHG emissions are roughly double from current and global temperature tends to increase (Meinshausen et al. 2020). All environmental variables were kept in raster format (geotiff) with similar cell sizes and reference systems to be appropriate for SDMs.

Selection of environmental variables

Important environmental variables were selected for this study depending on three criteria such as statistically important in predicting presence data for the selected species, biologically relevant for survival of selected species, and no collinearity with other variables (Abdulbasit and Sintayehu 2021). To do this, first Spearman correlation analysis was used to group environmental variables that have a correlation coefficient < 0.8 and biologically relevant for survival of selected species. Second, variance inflation factors (VIF) test was used to distinguish multi-collinearity among environmental variables. A stepwise procedure used to remove environmental variables with Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) larger than 3. Out of these 23 environmental variables, 10 non-correlated environmental variables such as bio2, bio3, bio4, bio7, bio14, bio18, bio19, landcover, population, and slope were selected based on the spearman correlation test and VIF test (Additional file 2). In addition, biologically important variables such as bio12 and elevation were used to map the distribution of both *Aloe* species. The relative variable importance of environmental variables was assessed by running the "getVarimp" function in R software based on correlation-based and area under curve (AUC)-based metrics (Naimi and Araújo 2016).

Species distribution modeling

Many algorithms were used to predict species distribution and their projection, which were classified into three main groups such as profile methods, classical regression and machine learning algorithms (Fick and Hijmans 2017). For this study two regression algorithms: Generalized Linear Models (GLM) and Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS), and four machine learning algorithms: Boosted Regression Trees (BRT), Maximum Entropy model (Maxent), Random Forests (RF), and Support Vector Machines (SVM) were used (Dagnew et al. 2022; Xu et al. 2021). These six model algorithms are among the most commonly employed for species distribution modeling, depend on the level of complexity, appropriateness, predictive power, and capability to incorporate presence-only data because of limited access to absence data (West et al. 2016; Nurhussen et al. 2021). The details of the models are described in (Table 1). These algorithms combined into one ensemble models through the 'sdm' package (Naimi and Araújo 2016), by applying a weighted mean approach using true skill statistic (TSS) (Hu et al. 2015).

Model validation and mapping

The species occurrences data were divided into two sets such as a random set of 70% for training data and 30% for evaluating model accuracy (Sintayehu et al. 2021). Bootstrapping replication approach with ten times replication was used using statistical software R version 4.2.2 with packages sdm (Venables and Smith 2022). The performance of the model was assessed based on threshold-independent AUC, threshold-dependent true skill statistics (TSS), sensitivity and specificity. The AUC value range indicates that 0.5–0.7 is weak, 0.7–0.9 good, and greater than 0.9 excellent model performance (Sintayehu et al. 2021). TSS values indicate that less than 0.4 is weak, 0.4–0.75 is good, and greater than 0.75 is an excellent model performance (Sintayehu et al. 2020a, b, c;

Table 1	SDMs	used fo	r our m	nodeling	and the	ir description

Models	Descriptions
Boosted Regression Trees (BRT)	Works based on a combination of a relatively small number of trees to increase the performance of predictive variables (Elith et al. 2009), has the ability to process several predictors at high predictive accuracy (Gu et al. 2019), constructs the models using stochastic gradient boosting (Friedman 2002)
Generalized Linear Model (GLM)	Is a modern regularization method often performing well (Reineking 2006), uses parametric func- tions such as linear or higher-degree polynomials to model the relationship between the response and predictors, is a generalization of ordinary least squares regression (Guisan et al. 2002)
Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS)	a flexible nonparametric regression model by using piecewise linear basis functions (Elith and Leath- wick 2007), combines the species data and uses information on the presence of the species to sup- plement information for the modeled species, considers interactions between variables locally, and selects predictors using the signal from the species presence data automatically (Choe et al. 2018)
Maximum entropy modeling (MaxEnt)	Performs better with small sample sizes compared with other modeling methods (Perkins and Frey 2022), developed for modeling presence-only species data (Phillips et al. 2006), flexible to fit complex models depending on number of occurrence points and user-defined settings (Elith et al. 2011)
Random Forest (RF)	popular method for SDMs, effective method for predicting species occurrence data (Abdi 2020), not very sensitive to tuning the model parameters (Freeman et al. 2016), avoids over fitting by ran- domly selecting variables to create a large number of classification trees (Jin et al. 2016)
Support Vector Machine (SVM)	a non-parametric machine-learning technique for regression and classification problems (Ashraf et al. 2017), works by defining linear hyperplanes that best separate different classes in the data (Stecanella 2017), uses nonlinear forms of the predictor variables for increased flexibility (Hastie et al. 2009)

Sintayehu et al. 2021). To select best threshold, maximum sensitivity plus specificity threshold were used from the model. The areas of suitability changes for the current and future prediction (2050 and 2070, which are the current projections global standards) were analyzed under four suitability categories using ArcGIS. These categories include 0.0–0.25 as not suitable, 0.25–0.50 as lowly suitable, 0.50–0.75 moderately suitable, and from 0.75–1.00 highly suitable (Hamid et al. 2018). Change in the percentage of area (percentage lost or gain areas) by the 2050 and 2070 were calculated according to Duan et al. (2016) formula as described below:

$$AC = \frac{Af - Ac}{Ac} \times 100\%$$

where AC = Percentage of area change; Af = the predicted area of suitable habitat for future and Ac = the predicted area of suitable habitat under current conditions. The overall modeling methods used in this study are presented in Fig. 3.

Results

Performance of species distribution models

The ensemble model exhibited excellent performance for *A. ankoberensis*, achieving a score of AUC value of 0.96 and a score of TSS value of 0.88. For *A. debrana*, the model's performance was good, with a score of AUC value of 0.87 and a score of TSS value of 0.63. The performances of SDMs using different evaluation criteria were illustrated for both *Aloe* species based on the provided training and testing data sets (Table 2). At the individual level, Maxent achieved the highest scores for *Aloe ankoberensis*, while Random Forest (RF) attained the highest score for *Aloe debrana*. Additionally, MARS had the lowest score for *A. ankoberensis* while GLM had the lowest score for *A. debrana*. In general, machine learning algorithms performed better than classic regression algorithms for both species. Moreover, sensitivity and specificity scores for both species were high for all models, indicating precise delineation of both suitable and unsuitable areas, with maximum correctly classified samples (Table 2).

Relative contribution of environmental variables

The key environmental variables crucial for predicting the potential distribution of *A. ankoberensis* and *A. debrana* species were outlined. For *A. ankoberensis*, bio2 exhibited the highest percentage contribution (53.6%), while for *A. debrana*, elevation had the higher percentage contribution (44.4%). Among the environmental variables used, bio2, bio3, bio7, bio12, and elevation were ranked as the top five important variables predicting the potential distribution of both species. On the other hand, landcover and population for *A. ankoberensis*, and bio19 and landcover change for *A. debrana* were found to have lower influence on their distribution (Fig. 4).

The response curve showed that *A. ankoberensis* prefers the mean diurnal range of temperature ranges from 14.5 to $15.5 \,^{\circ}$ C, annual precipitation ranges from 1000 to 1200 mm, temperature annual range ranges from 15 to 19 $\,^{\circ}$ C, elevation ranges from 3000 to 3500 m and isothermality ranges from 60 to 73 $\,^{\circ}$ C (Fig. 5).



Fig. 3 Schematic representation of modeling procedures

A. debrana prefers elevation ranges from 2000 to 2900 m, annual precipitation from 800 to 1100 mm, mean diurnal range of temperature ranges from 14 to 17 °C, isothermality ranges from 60 to 75 °C, and temperature annual range from 18 to 20 °C (Fig. 6).

Current distribution of A. ankoberensis and A. debrana

The current area distribution prediction indicated that 98.32% of the North Shewa zone was unsuitable for *Aloe*

ankoberensis, leaving only 1.68% classified as suitable area (Table 2). Within the total suitable area for *A. ankoberensis*, the model indicated that 1.01%, 0.52%, and 0.15% were categorized as low, moderate, and high suitability, respectively. For *Aloe debrana*, the prediction of current area distribution indicated that 63.89% was unsuitable, while 36.11% was classified as suitable area (Table 3).

Furthermore, results showed that 0.21% and 12.54% of the study areas were highly and moderately suitable

Species	Evaluation criteria	SDMs								
		BRT	GLM	MARS	Maxent	RF	SVM	Ensemble		
A. ankoberensis	AUC	0.96	0.97	0.90	0.99	0.97	0.96	0.96		
	TSS	0.88	0.89	0.80	0.94	0.91	0.88	0.88		
	Sensitivity	0.94	0.96	0.82	0.99	0.95	0.91	0.93		
	Specificity	0.94	0.93	0.98	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.95		
A. debrana	AUC	0.87	0.81	0.86	0.87	0.95	0.86	0.87		
	TSS	0.60	0.52	0.62	0.63	0.79	0.62	0.63		
	Sensitivity	0.89	0.93	0.88	0.89	0.91	0.89	0.90		
	Specificity	0.72	0.59	0.74	0.74	0.88	0.72	0.73		

 Table 2
 Performance evaluation of each SDM using different statistical parameters



Fig. 4 Relative contribution of environmental variables for ensemble SDMs

areas for *A. debrana*, respectively. Correspondingly, the highly and moderately suitable areas for *A. ankoberensis* lie within Ankober, Asagrt, and Tarma Ber districts (Fig. 7). Highly suitable areas for *A. debrana* were mainly found in Menz Gera Midir. In addition, the vast majority of moderately suitable areas for *A. debrana* were found in Basona Worena, Menz Gera Midir, Menz Mama Midir, and Mojan Wedera Woredas (Fig. 7).

Future distribution of A. ankoberensis and A. debrana

The future scenario prediction indicated that *A. ankoberensis* will be completely loss its current highly and moderately suitable habitat or niche in the mid of twenty-first century and end of 2070s under all scenarios except SSP 4.5 scenario by 2050s for moderately suitable habitat (Table 3).

The maximum lowly suitable areas found in 2050s and 2070s were 4.45% and 2.14%, respectively. Moreover, the

lowly suitable areas will be increased under all scenarios by 2050s, and SSP 4.5 and SSP 8.5 scenarios by 2070s compared with the current distribution. But lowly suitable area will be decreased under SSP 4.5 scenario by 2070s. According to the model prediction, lowly suitable areas for *A. ankoberensis* lie within Antsokiya, Eferatana Gidem, Kewet, Ankober, Angolela Tera, Assagirt and Hagere Mariam districts (locally called woreda) by 2050s under SSP 2.6 scenario. But, in 2050s and 2070s under SSP 4.5 and SSP 8.5 scenarios, the expansion of the lowly suitable area to Antsokiaya, Eferatna Gidem and Hareger Mariam woredas will be predicted to be lost (Fig. 8).

Similarly, *A. debrana* will be completely loss its highly suitable habitat or niche in the mid of twenty-first century and end of 2070s under all scenarios. The moderately suitable area will be 0, 0.68% and 1.89% by 2050, and continuously decrease by 2070 under SSP (2.6, 4.5 and 8.5) scenarios, respectively. The maximum lowly



Fig. 5 Response curve for A. ankoberensis distribution. The X-axis represents the range of values of the environmental variables, and the Y-axis gives the probability of occurrence on a scale from 0 to 1

suitable areas found in 2050s and 2070s will be 31.36% and 26.21%, respectively. Moreover, the lowly suitable areas will be expected to decrease under SSP 2.6 and 4.5 scenarios by 2050, and SSP 4.5 and 8.5 scenarios by 2070 compared with the current distribution. According to the model prediction, moderately suitable areas for *A. debrana* lie within Mimo Werremo, Merhabete, Moretna Jiru, Ensaro, and Siya Debrirna Wayu Woredas (Fig. 9). Similarly, lowly suitable area for this species could expand across most parts of the North Shewa zone.

Habitat change analysis in the distribution of *A*. *ankoberensis* and *A*. *debrana*

In the case of *A. ankoberensis*, the highly and moderately suitable habitats will be expected to decrease by 100% under SSP 2.6 and SSP 8.5 scenarios, and 98.67% under SSP 4.5 scenario compared to the current distribution. The lowly suitable habitat increased by 343.07% under SSP 2.6 scenario and show decreasing trend for the rest scenarios in 2050s. But, by 2070s, the predicted lowly suitable habitats will be expected to decrease under SSP 2.6 and SSP 4.5 scenarios as compared to predicted lowly suitable habitats of the year 2050s. In addition, by 2070s the non-suitable habitat will be expected to increase by 1.3% while the lowly suitable habitat will decrease by

59%, moderately and highly suitable habitats by 100% under SSP 4.5 scenario. In the case of *A. debrana*, compared to the current distribution, the highly suitable habitat will be lost by 100% under all scenarios in 2050s and 2070s. The area covered by moderately suitable habitat decreased by 100%, 94.63%, and 84.95% under SSP scenarios (2.6, 4.5, and 8.5), respectively by 2050s, and show decreasing trend under all scenarios by 2070s. The lowly suitable habitat for this species will be expected to decrease by 72.45% and 12.67% under SSP 2.6 and 4.5 scenarios respectively by 2050s, while decreased by 12.2%, 29.66% and 28.6% under SSP 2.6, 4.5 and 8.5 scenarios respectively by 2070s (Table 4). In addition, under all scenarios the non-suitable habitats will be expected to increase as compared to the current distribution.

Discussion

Data quality and model performance

The current and future distribution of *A. ankoberensis* and *A. debrana* under different climate change scenarios was done for the first time in this study. This prediction helps in understanding how these endemic species of *Aloe* will respond to future climate conditions. The SDMs are the most important methods for predicting the potential distribution of species by producing



Fig. 6 Response curve for A. debrana distribution. The X-axis represents the range of values of the environmental variables, and the Y-axis gives the probability of occurrence on a scale from 0 to 1

Species	Decades	Scenarios	Total suitable area (km ²) and percent of land									
			Not suitable		Lowly suitable		Moderately suitable		Highly suitable			
			km ²	%	km ²	%	km ²	%	km ²	%		
A. ankoberensis	Current	_	15,901.43	98.32	162.57	1.01	83.74	0.52	24.59	0.15		
	2050	SSP2.6	15,452.03	95.55	720.31	4.45	0	0	0	0		
		SSP4.5	15,790.88	97.64	380.34	2.35	1.12	0.01	0	0		
		SSP8.5	15,874.09	98.16	298.25	1.84	0	0	0	0		
	2070	SSP2.6	15,884.46	98.22	287.88	1.78	0	0	0	0		
		SSP4.5	16,105.68	99.59	66.66	0.41	0	0	0	0		
		SSP8.5	15,825.57	97.86	346.77	2.14	0	0	0	0		
A. debrana	Current	-	1033.69	63.89	3777.02	23.35	2028.79	12.54	33.84	0.21		
	2050	SSP2.6	15,131.92	93.57	1040.42	6.43	0	0	0	0		
		SSP4.5	12,765.09	78.93	3298.29	20.39	108.97	0.68	0	0		
		SSP8.5	10,794.9	66.75	5072.19	31.36	305.24	1.89	0	0		
	2070	SSP2.6	11,882.93	73.48	4239.14	26.21	50.27	0.31	0	0		
		SSP4.5	13,515.11	83.57	2656.66	16.43	0.58	0.004	0	0		
		SSP8.5	13,475.54	83.32	2696.8	16.68	0	0	0	0		

Table 3 Predicted suitable area per species for current and future climate change scenarios



Fig. 7 Current predicted habitat suitability of A. ankoberensis and A. debrana

habitat suitability maps and developing priority site for conservation (Elith and Leathwick 2007; Kaky and Gilbert 2017; Borzée et al. 2019). Moreover, the SDMs are gaining recognition as a tool for sustainable biodiversity management (Qazi et al. 2022). The model achieved with an excellent degree of accuracy for A. ankoberensis with an AUC/TSS score of 0.96/0.88 respectively, and a good degree of accuracy for A. debrana with AUC/TSS score of 0.87/0.63 respectively. Congruently, Dagnew et al. (2022) found an AUC/TSS score of 1/0.96 for highland bamboo, and Mkala et al. (2022) found an AUC/TSS score of 0.91/0.82 for Aloe clasenii and 0.83/0.64 for Aloe ballyi. Moreover, numerous studies showed that performance of RF was higher for predicting species distribution in ensemble model approach (Lee et al. 2021; Nurhussen et al. 2021; Xu et al. 2021; Urziceanu et al. 2022). This model result also revealed that RF has the highest performance with AUC/TSS score of 0.97/0.91 and 0.95/0.79 for A. ankoberensis and A. debrana, respectively.

In addition, to determine level of threats for the species categorized under Red List, the IUCN started using SDMs (Cassini 2011). Previous studies revealed that the use of largest set of environmental variables will increase the possibility of finding important variables for prediction (Lissovsky et al. 2021). Accordingly, in this study variety of environmental variables were added to improve the accuracy of the model. To get truthful prediction, preparing quality data is a key point. This can be performed by removing auto-correlated occurrence records, correlation test, and reasonable selection of environmental variables (Pattanaik et al. 2022; Tesfamariam et al. 2022).

Current distribution and key environmental variables for *A*. *ankoberensis* and *A. debrana*

Species distributions are limited by availability of habitat (Wang et al. 2015). To improve the management and conservation of a species, it is vital to look at its potential range given the current climatic conditions. Climate change is the significant factor that affects the distribution of global plants (Tshabalala et al. 2020). According to our results, for *A. ankoberensis*, suitable habitat is currently concentrated in the Ankober, Tarmaber, and Asagrt woredas while for *A. debrana*, it is found mostly in the North Shewa zone showing decreasing and narrowing patterns of habitat ranges compared to historical distribution records of previous studies (Sebsebe and Nordal 2010; Eshetu et al. 2020).



Fig. 8 Future predicted habitat suitability of A. ankoberensis under different scenarios

According to Mkala et al. (2022), climate change could have a substantial impact on endemic species in the present and the future, displacing them from their original niche ranges to new ones (Qin et al. 2017). These findings showed that climate change could have a significant impact on the distribution of *A. ankoberensis* and *A. debrana*. Identifying the environmental variables that have a significant effect on species distribution is a key for conservation and restoration of species in their natural habotats (Cao et al. 2016). With this regard this study showed that temperature and precipitation related environmental variables were the most



Fig. 8 continued

significant factors for *Aloe* species distribution (Abdulbasit and Sintayehu 2021; Guo et al. 2021).

In addition, elevation is also the most significant factor for distribution of species (Cotrina et al. 2021; Yericho et al. 2022). Similar studies also showed that climate change could have affected species growth and distribution, particularly along elevation gradients (Odeny et al. 2019). Similarly, A. ankoberensis was greatly affected by mean diurnal range of temperature and annual precipitation, while A. debrana was affected by elevation and annual precipitation. Previous studies by Wilson et al. (2020) reported that precipitation, temperature, water availability, humidity, and wind are significantly limiting species distribution in Africa. Despite the fact that both species are more sensitive to variations in temperature and precipitation, their tolerance to these variables may not be the same as a result of difference in elevations in the natural habitats. According to the findings from this study, A. ankoberensis prefers areas with an elevation range of 3000-3500 m above sea level while A. debrana prefers areas with an elevation range of 2000-2900 m above sea level. This is in consistence with previous studies on Aloe species distribution in Ethiopia (Sebsebe and Nordal 2010). In Ethiopia, though the correlation of rainfall with altitude above about 1800 m is particularly poor due to oreographic effects, metrological data indicated that the range of A. debrana areas gets more precipitation than the sub-Afroalpine ecosystem of A. ankoberensis, which favores more population distribution and able to withstand the impact of climate change. However, A. ankoberensis could be less tolerant to an increased temperature at higher elevation of sub-Afroalpine ecosystem so that affected the population distribution coupled with other factors. Different scholars identified that anthropogenic activities such as urban development programs, excessive logging, mining, conversion of forest areas to farmland, over exploitation and others combined with climate change influence species distribution (Weelden et al. 2021; Zahoor et al. 2021). Moreover, these anthropogenic factors are one of the factors for global warming in the atmosphere which results in diminishing of biodiversity and affects survival of species in their natural habitats. Sintayehu (2018) showed that extreme climate warming's could alter plant growth, as well as increasing their vulnerability within natural habitat. Additionally, other biological factors that affect local adaptations, such as physiological features and phenotypic plasticity, may have a larger impact on species' reactions to climate change than temperature changes alone (Urban et al 2016). As a result, significant level of the natural habitats for these Aloe species are currently vulnerable to further habitat loss and fragmentation, in addition to climate change impacts. It has been stated that the main risks to the wild population instability of *Aloe*



Fig. 9 Future predicted habitat suitability of A. debrana under different scenarios

species in the environment are habitat loss brought on by agriculture, infrastructure growth, and an increase in settlement rates (Eshetu et al. 2020). In addition, growth of human population, land use and land cover change and development of tourist industry affect species diversity and distribution pattern (Nugroho et al. 2022). These phenomena in the study areas aggravated decline *Aloe* species population distribution coupled with the climate change.

Future distribution of A. ankoberensis and A. debrana

Climate change predictions suggest that the ranges of these endemic *Aloe* species will gradually shrink, leading to a reduction in their suitable habitats. Climate change



Fig. 9 continued

Table 4	Percentage of	f area chang	ges (gain or	oss) of A	. ankobere	nsis and /	A. debrana	for future	periods ((2050 and	2070) unc	ler SSP (2	2.6,
4.5 and 8	8.5) scenarios												

Species	Decades	Scenarios	Change (%) compared with current suitability						
			Not suitable	Lowly suitable	Moderately suitable	High suitable			
A. ankoberensis	2050	SSP2.6	- 2.83	343.07	- 100	- 100			
		SSP4.5	- 0.70	133.95	- 98.67	- 100			
		SSP8.5	- 0.17	83.45	- 100	- 100			
	2070	SSP2.6	- 0.11	77.08	- 100	- 100			
		SSP4.5	1.30	- 59.00	- 100	- 100			
		SSP8.5	- 0.48	113.30	- 100	- 100			
A. debrana	2050	SSP2.6	46.45	- 72.45	- 100	- 100			
		SSP4.5	23.54	- 12.67	- 94.63	- 100			
		SSP8.5	4.47	34.30	- 84.95	- 100			
	2070	SSP2.6	15.00	- 12.20	- 97.52	- 100			
		SSP4.5	30.80	- 29.66	- 99.97	- 100			
		SSP8.5	30.40	- 28.60	- 100	- 100			

has already initiated significant large-scale alterations in species distribution, abundance, and genetic diversity (Weiskopf et al. 2020). It is clear that species' geographic distributions may be altered in the future due to climate change (Sales et al. 2020). Previous research demonstrated that some species may experience benefit from climate change, gaining access to more areas that are optimal for growth and reproduction (Sintayehu et al. 2021; Sintayehu et al. 2020a, b, c). However, other species suffer from the negative consequences of climate change (Kaky 2020; Abdulbasit and Sintayehu 2021; Lee et al. 2021; Xu et al. 2021). Endemic species are more affected by climate change than non-endemic species (Manes et al. 2021). This indicates that areas that have high endemic species are more vulnerable to climate change.

According to most predicting models, the temperature in Ethiopia will increase significantly in the future, and its precipitation will also slightly increase. The model predicts that in both the 2050s and 2070s, the highly suitable area for both species will decrease by

100% under all scenarios. Similar to this, in the 2050s, the moderately suitable region for A. ankoberensis will shrink by 100% under all scenarios other than SSP 4.5. According to SSP scenarios (2.6, 4.5, and 8.5), the moderately suitable area for A. debrana will drop by 100%, 94.63%, and 84.95% in the 2050s and by 97.52%, 99.97%, and 100% in the 2070s, respectively. This is likely due to a decline in area available and its suitability as global temperature increase. Similarly in the future climate change could have negative impact by reducing the geographical range of species (Jamwal et al. 2021). Given the possible effects of climate change and habitat fragmentation on unstable and isolated populations, the long-term viability of threatened and endangered plant species is becoming increasingly crucial (Wang et al. 2015). This cumulative impact of all climate extreme events will accelerate the total alteration of the ecosystem and its structure. For different reason, the conservation and expansion of these endemic species habitat need to pay attention. First, they have been recognized for their economic potential in Ethiopia particularly for livelihood security, economic development and enhancing biodiversity conservation on marginal lands (Mukonyi et al. 2007). Second, they are considered as a keystone species that alters ecosystem (Sebsebe and Nordal 2010). Third, they have potential values for medicinal, social, environmental, materials, and food use (Anteneh et al. 2020; Eshetu et al. 2020). Fourth, these endemic species are found in restricted range, peculiar features of the limited number of Aloe species on the Afromontane and sub-Afroalpine ecosystems, and their habitats are susceptible to habitat fragmentation.

The model predicted that annual precipitation increases from 1000-1200 mm for A. ankoberensis and from 800 to 1100 mm for A. debrana that could result in challenges on the suitable habitats of the species. However, the future precipitation prediction in Ethiopia indicated an erratic rainfall pattern (IPCC 2021). This erratic rainfall can cause imbalances in the soil moisture, vegetation, and microclimate of the environment (Bates et al. 2008) and extreme rainfall can accelerate soil erosion. Furthermore, the incidence of disease outbreaks accumulated each year due to climate change (Jeon et al. 2020). These factors could pose significant threats to the survival of both A. ankoberensis and A. debrana. Consequently, the future suitable area for both A. ankoberensis and A. debrana would become lost. In addition, the prediction showed that A. ankoberensis and A. debrana will change their distribution in response to future climatic changes. Because of the rise in global average temperature, the species could shift to high-elevation areas (Faticov et al. 2021). The current and future challenges posed on these endemic species could be an indicator for the great challenge faced on the peculiar habitats like sub-Afroalpine and Afroalpine ecosystems of the country.

Conclusion

This study investigated the potential distribution and environmental niche of A. ankoberensis and A. debrana in the North Shewa zone, employing an ensemble model approach across current, 2050s, and 2070s time periods under three climate scenarios SSP (2.6, 4.5, and 8.5). Such investigation is vital for future monitoring activities and proper design of conservation strategies and management plans of endemic and rare species that become under threat due to climate and land use and land cover changes. The findings underscored that the suitable habitat for the narrowly endemic A. ankoberensis and endemic A. debrana is projected to diminish under future climate change scenarios, potentially exacerbating their conservation status beyond the categories listed in IUCN reports. The limited number of presence points for A. ankoberensis suggest a significant decline in the population of this sub-Afroalpine and narrowly endemic Aloe species. Furthermore, the existing and forthcoming challenges confronting these endemic species serve as indicators of the substantial threats faced by peculiar habitats such as sub-Afroalpine and Afroalpine ecosystems in the country. In summary, the findings suggest an urgent call for developing species conservation plans, designing appropriate conservation strategies, and strengthening adaptation measures to tackle the compounded factors contributing to habitat loss. These efforts are vital to ensure the survival of these endemic, narrowly endemic, and rare species within their natural habitats.

Abbreviations

AUC BRT	Area under curve Boosted regression trees
CMCC- ESM2	Second-generation Euro-Mediterranean Centre on climate change earth system model
GCMs	General circulation models
GHGs	Greenhouse gases
GLM	Generalized linear models
IPBES	Intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
LULCC	Land use and land cover change
MARS	Multivariate adaptive regression splines
Maxent	Maximum entropy
RF	Random forests
SDMs	Species distribution models
SSP	Shared socio-economic pathway scenarios
SVM	Support vector machines
TSS	True skill statistics
VIF	Variance inflation factors

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-024-00511-x.

Additional file 1: Table S1. List of environmental variables used for modeling the distribution of the species.

Additional file 2: Table S2. Correlation matrix among environmental variables.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the Africa Center of Excellence in Climate Smart Agriculture and Biodiversity Conservation (ACE Climate SABC) of Haramaya University for funding this research.

Author contributions

HA and AB initiated the work; HA collected the data, AB and SWD supervised the study; HA, AB and SWD analyzed data, wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by ACE Climate SABC of Haramaya University.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript as supplementary information files.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Africa Center of Excellence in Climate Smart Agriculture and Biodiversity Conservation, Haramaya University, Maya City, Ethiopia. ²School of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Haramaya University, Maya City, Ethiopia.

Received: 7 October 2023 Accepted: 29 March 2024 Published online: 17 May 2024

References

- Abdi AM (2020) Land cover and land use classification performance of machine learning algorithms in a boreal landscape using Sentinel-2 data. Gisci Remote Sens 57(1):1–20
- Abdulbasit H, Sintayehu W (2021) Modeling the impacts of climate changes on the distribution of *Aloe vera* species in Ethiopia. Earth Sci Clim Change 12(6):567
- Anteneh B, Sebsebe D, Negussie B, Daniel B (2020) Ethno-medicinal and biocultural importance of aloes from south and east of the Great Rift Valley floristic regions of Ethiopia. Heliyon 6(6):e04344
- Ashraf U, Peterson AT, Chaudhry MN, Ashraf I, Saqib Z, Rashid Ahmad S, Ali H (2017) Ecological niche model comparison under different climate scenarios: a case study of *Olea* spp. in Asia. Ecosphere 8:e01825
- Asnake YY, Amare D (2019) Land use/cover spatiotemporal dynamics, driving forces and implications at the Beshillo Catchment of the Blue Nile Basin, North Eastern Highlands of Ethiopia. Environ Syst Res 8:21. https://doi. org/10.1186/s40068-019-0148-y

- Barbet-Massin M, Frédéric J, Cécile HA, Wilfried T (2012) Selecting pseudoabsences for species distribution models: how, where and how many? Methods Ecol Evol 3(2):327–338. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X. 2011.00172.x
- Bates BC, Kundzewicz ZW, Wu S, Palutikof JP (ed) (2008) Climate change and water. Technical Paper of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC Secretariat, Geneva, p 210. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 90EO00112
- Bjorå CS, Wabuyele E, Grace OM, Nordal I, Newton LE (2015) The uses of Kenyan aloes: an analysis of implications for names, distribution and conservation. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed 11:82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. heliyon.2020.e04344
- Boral D, Moctan S (2021) Predictive distribution modeling of *Swertia bimaculata* in Darjeeling-Sikkim Eastern Himalaya using MaxEnt: current and future scenarios. Ecol Process 10:26. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-021-00294-5
- Borzée A, Desiree A, Jordy G, Hyun TK, Yoonhyuk B, Yikweon J (2019) Climate change-based models predict range shifts in the distribution of the only Asian plethodontid salamander: *Karsenia koreana*. Sci Rep 9:11838. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48310-1
- Breiner FT, Antoine G, Ariel B, Michael PN (2015) Overcoming limitations of modelling rare species by using ensembles of small models. Methods Ecol Evol 6(10):1210–1218. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12403
- Bula KO, Baressa AE (2017) Aloes of Ethiopia: a review on uses and importance of aloes in Ethiopia. Int J Plant Biol Res 5(1):1-6
- Cao B, Bai C, Zhang L, Guishuang L, Mingce M (2016) Modeling habitat distribution of *Cornus officinalis* with Maxent modeling and fuzzy logics in China. J Plant Ecol 9(6):742–751. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtw009
- Cassini MH (2011) Ranking threats using species distribution models in the IUCN red list assessment process. Biodivers Conserv 20(14):3689–3692. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-011-0126-9
- Chase MW, Christenhusz JM, Fay MF, Byng JW, Judd WS et al (2016) An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. Bot J Linn Soc 181(1):1–20. https:// doi.org/10.1111/boj.12385
- Choe H, Thorne JH, Huber PR, Lee D, Quinn JF (2018) Assessing shortfalls and complementary conservation areas for national plant biodiversity in South Korea. PLoS ONE 13(2):e0190754
- Cotrina S, Alexander Nilton B, Rojas B, Subhajit B, Subhasis G et al (2021) Biogeographic distribution of *Cedrela* spp. genus in Peru using Maxent modeling: a conservation and restoration approach. Diversity 13:261. https://doi.org/10.3390/d13060261
- Dagnew Y, Sileshi N, Binyam TH, Worku Z, Gudeta WS et al (2022) Modeling and mapping habitat suitability of highland bamboo under climate change in Ethiopia. Forests 13(6):859. https://doi.org/10.3390/f1306 0859
- der Reineking BBS (2006) Constrain to perform: regularization of habitat models. Ecol Model 193:675–690
- Duan RY, Kong XQ, Huang MY, Varela S, Ji X (2016) The potential effects of climate change on amphibian distribution, range fragmentation and turnover in China. PeerJ 4:e2185. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2185
- Eckert S, Amina H, Charles JK, Theo EW, Linders WTN, Purity RM et al (2020) Niche change analysis as a tool to inform management of two invasive species in Eastern Africa. Ecosphere 11:e02987. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ecs2.2987
- Edwards S, Sebsebe D, Inga H (1997) Flora of Ethiopia and Eritrea. Vol. 6. National Herbarium, Biology Department, Science Facuhy, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia and Department of Systematic Botany, Uppsala University, Sweden
- Elith J, Leathwick JR (2007) Predicting species distributions from museum and herbarium records using multi response models fitted with multivariate adaptive regression splines. Divers Distrib 13:265–275
- Elith J, Leathwick JR (2009) Species distribution models: ecological explanation and prediction across space and time. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 40:677–697. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120159
- Elith J, Steven JP, Trevor H, Miroslav D (2011) A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. Divers Distrib 17:43–57. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00725.x

- Eshetu F, Kifle D, Tigist W, Sebsebe D, Charlotte SB, Olwen MG (2020) Uses and perceived sustainability of *Aloe* L. (Asphodelaceae) in the Central and Northern Highlands of Ethiopia. S Afr J Bot 147:1042–1050. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.sajb.2020.11.001
- Faticov M, Ahmed A, Tomas R, Corinne V, Guillaume B et al (2021) Climate warming dominates over plant genotype in shaping the seasonal trajectory of foliar fungal communities on oak. New Phytol 231:1770– 1783. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17434
- Fick SE, Hijmans RJ (2017) WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. Int J Climatol 37(12):4302–4315. https:// doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086
- Freeman EA, Moisen GG, Coulston JW, Wilson BT (2016) Random forests and stochastic gradient boosting for predicting tree canopy cover: comparing tuning processes and model performance. Can J Forest 46(3):323–339
- Friedman JH (2002) Stochastic gradient boosting. Comput Stat Data Anal 38:367–378
- Girma NA (2017) Dynamics of land use and land cover change and vegetation composition of guassa community conservation area, Amhara Region, Ethiopia
- Gu H, Wang J, Ma L, Shang Z, Zhang Q (2019) Insights into the BRT (Boosted Regression Trees) method in the study of the climate-growth relationship of Masson pine in subtropical China. Forests 10(3):228
- Guisan A, Edwards TC Jr, Hastie T (2002) Generalized linear and generalized additive models in studies of species distributions: setting the scene. Ecol Model 157(2–3):89–100
- Guo K, Sijia Y, Hao W, Jun Z, Yanqing W, Wan C et al (2021) Species distribution models for predicting the habitat suitability of Chinese fire-bellied newt *Cynops orientalis* under climate change. Ecol Evol 11(15):10147–10154. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7822
- Hamid M, Anzar AK, Bipin C, Rameez A, Singh CP, Aravind NA (2018) Impact of climate change on the distribution range and niche dynamics of Himalayan birch, a typical treeline species in Himalayas. Biodivers Conserv 28(8–9):2345–2370. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1641-8
- Hastie T, Tibshirani R, Friedman JH (2009) The elements of statistical learning: data mining, inference, and prediction, Second Edition (Springer Series in Statistics), 2nd edn. Springer
- Hu XY, Fang L, Ying J, Da Yuan X (2015) The effects of climate change on the distribution of snub-nosed monkey in China. Adv Mater Res 1092–1093:645–650. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr. 1092-1093.645
- Friis I, Demissew S, van Breugel P (2010) Atlas of the potential vegetation of Ethiopia. The Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters, Copenhagen, p 315
- IPBES-IPCC (2021) Scientific outcome of the IPBES-IPCC co-sponsored workshop on biodiversity and climate change. IPBES Secretariat. Bonn, Germany
- IPCC (2014) Climate Change 2014: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Part A: global and sectoral aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. 1132 pp
- IPCC (2021) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. 2391 pp. doi:https:// doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.
- Jamwal PS, Di Febbraro M, Carranza ML, Savage M, Loy A (2021) Global change on the roof of the world: vulnerability of Himalayan otter species to land use and climate alterations. Divers Distrib 28(8):1635–1649. https:// doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13377
- Jeon CW, Da Ran K, Geun HG, Byung BuK, Nam Ho K et al (2020) First report of gray mold disease on endangered species *Cypripedium japonicum*. Mycobiology 48(5):423–426. https://doi.org/10.1080/12298093.2020. 1794215
- Jin Y, Sung S, Lee DK, Biging GS, Jeong S (2016) Mapping deforestation in North Korea using phenology-based multi-index and random forest. Remote Sens 8:997

- Kaky E (2020) Potential habitat suitability of Iraqi amphibians under climate change. Biodiversitas 21(2):731–742. https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/ d210240
- Kaky E, Gilbert F (2017) Predicting the distributions of Egypt's medicinal plants and their potential shifts under future climate change. PLoS ONE 12:e0187714. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0187714
- Kumar S, Stohlgren T (2009) Maxent modeling for predicting suitable habitat for threatened and endangered tree *Canacomyrica monticola* in New Caledonia. J Ecol Nat Sci 1:94–98
- Kumar S, Amita Y, Manila Y, Jaya PY (2017) Effect of climate change on phytochemical diversity, total phenolic content and in vitro antioxidant activity of *Aloe vera* (L.) Burm. f. BMC Res Notes 10:60. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13104-017-2385-3
- Lee S, Jung H, Choi J (2021) Projecting the impact of climate change on the spatial distribution of six subalpine tree species in South Korea using a multi-model ensemble approach. Forests 12:37. https://doi.org/10. 3390/f12010037
- Lissovsky AA, Dudov SV, Obolenskaya EV (2021) Species-distribution modeling: advantages and limitations of its application. 1. General approaches. Biol Bull Rev 11(3):254–264. https://doi.org/10.1134/s20790864210300 75
- Lovato T, Peano D, Butenschön M, Materia S, Iovino D et al (2022) CMIP6 simulations with the CMCC earth system model (CMCC-ESM2). J Adv Model Earth Syst 14:e2021MS002814. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002814
- Manes S, Mark JC, Heath B, Anindita D, Eleanor D-N et al (2021) Endemism increases species' climate change risk in areas of global biodiversity importance. Biol Conserv 257:109070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon. 2021.109070
- Meinshausen M, Nicholls ZRJ, Lewis J, Gidden MJ et al (2020) The shared socioeconomic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500. Geosci Model Dev 13:3571–3605. https://doi.org/ 10.5194/gmd-13-3571-2020
- Meller L, Cabeza M, Samuel P, Morgane B-M, Luigi M et al (2014) Ensemble distribution models in conservation prioritization: from consensus predictions to consensus reserve networks. Divers Distrib 20(3):309–321. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12162
- Meyer ML (2017) Using maximum entropy species distribution modeling for long-term conservation planning of three federally listed bats in North America. Master's Theses. https://scholars.fhsu.edu/theses/10
- Mkala EM, Elizabeth SM, Vincent OW, Milicent AO, Wyclife AO et al (2022) Modeling impacts of climate change on the potential distribution of three endemic *Aloe* species critically endangered in East Africa. Eco Inform 71:101765. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2022.101765
- Mukonyi K, Situma CA, Lusweti A, Kyalo S, Erik K (2007) Commercial wild *Aloe* resource base in Kenya and Uganda drylands as alternative livelihoods source to rural communities. Discov Innov 19:220–230
- Naimi B, Araújo MB (2016) SDM: a reproducible and extensible R platform for species distribution modelling. Ecography 39(4):368–375. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01881
- Nigate G, Girma Y (2018) Study on the prevalence of *Melophagus ovinus* (sheep ked) in Berehet Woreda, Ethiopia. SOJ Vet Sci 4(3):1–10. https://doi.org/ 10.15226/2381-2907/4/3/00160
- Nigus ZG, Solomon T, Tizazu Z, Kassahun D, Abebe T, Berhan M et al (2020) In vitro antimicrobial activity of six Ethiopian medicinal plants against *Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli* and *Candida albicans*. Eur J Integr Med 36:101121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eujim.2020.101121
- NSZADO (2020) North Shewa Zone Agriculture Department Office Annual Report, p 120
- Nugroho HYSH, Nurfatriani F, Indrajaya Y, Yuwati TW, Ekawati S, Salminah M, Baral H (2022) Mainstreaming ecosystem services from Indonesia's remaining forests. Sustainability 14(19):12124
- Nurhussen A, Clement A, Worku Z (2021) Species distribution modelling performance and its implication for Sentinel-2-based prediction of invasive *Prosopis juliflora* in lower Awash River Basin, Ethiopia. Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH
- Odeny D, Karanja F, Mwachala G, Pellikka P, Marchant R (2019) Impact of climate change on species distribution and carbon storage of agroforestry trees on isolated East African Mountains. Am J Clim Chang 8:364–386. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajcc.2019.83020

- Pattanaik S, Koteshwar P, Hembram K, Ashok D (2022) MaxEnt modeling in predicting habitat suitability for *Syzygium alternifolium*—an endangered species from Eastern Ghats, India
- Perkins-Taylor IE, Frey JK (2020) Predicting the distribution of a rare chipmunk (*Neotamias quadrivittatus oscuraensis*): comparing MaxEnt and occupancy models. J Mamm 101:1035–1048. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jmammal/gyaa057
- Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol Model 190:231–259
- Qazi AW, Saqib Z, Zaman-ul-Haq M (2022) Trends in species distribution modelling in context of rare and endemic plants: a systematic review. Ecol Process 11:40. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-022-00384-y
- Qin AL, Liu B, Guo QS, Bussmann RW, Ma FQ, Jian ZJ, Xu GX, Pei SX (2017) Maxent modeling for predicting impacts of climate change on the potential distribution of *Thuja sutchuenensis* Franch., an extremely endangered conifer from southwestern China. Glob Ecol Conserv 10:139–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2017.02.004
- Riordan EC, Montalvo AM, Beyers JL (2018) Using species distribution models with climate change scenarios to aid ecological restoration decisionmaking for Southern California shrublands. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station. Riverside, CA
- Sales L, Ribeiro BR, Chapman CA, Loyola R (2020) Multiple dimensions of climate change on the distribution of Amazon primates. Persp Ecol Conserv 18(2):83–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2020.03.001
- Sebsebe D, Nordal I (2010) Aloes and lilies of Ethiopia and Eritrea. Shama Books, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- Sebsebe D, Friis I, Tesfaye A, Paul W, Odile W, Steve B, Inger N (2011) Four new species of *Aloe* (Aloaceae) from Ethiopia, with notes on the ethics of describing new taxa from foreign countries. Kew Bull 66:111–121
- Shambel AC, Cara S, Kflay G, Sileshi N, Bikila W (2022) Modeling cultural keystone species for the conservation of biocultural diversity in the Afroalpine. Enviroments 9:156
- Sintayehu W (2018) Impact of climate change on biodiversity and associated key ecosystem services in Africa: a systematic review. Ecosyst Health Sustain 4(9):225–239
- Sintayehu DW, Gemedo D, Arbo FB (2020a) Impacts of climate change on current and future invasion of *Prosopis juliflora* in Ethiopia: environmental and socio-economic implications. Heliyon 6:e04596. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04596
- Sintayehu DW, Anthony E, Wai-Tim TN, Elias C (2020b) Regional dynamics in distribution of *Prosopis juliflora* under predicted climate change in Africa. Trop Ecol 61(4):437–445. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s42965-020-00101-w
- Sintayehu DW, Nega T, Willem FDB (2020c) Present and future climatic suitability for dengue fever in Africa. Infect Ecol Epidemiol 10:1782042. https:// doi.org/10.1080/20008686.2020.1782042
- Sintayehu DW, Elias C, Awol SE, Feleke W (2021) Modeling invasion potential of *Lantana camara* under the changing climate and land use/land cover change in Ethiopia: its implication for management of the species. Plant Biosyst 155(6):1189–1197. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2020. 1864494
- Sisay A, Yirga A, Redwan J, Habtam G (2013) The importance of *Aloe debrana* plant as a thickening agent for disperse printing of polyester and cotton in textile industry. J Tex Sci Eng 4(1):1–4. https://doi.org/10. 4172/2165-8064.1000147
- Stecanella B (2017) Support vector machines (SVM) algorithm explained. MonkeyLearn. https://monkeylearn.com/blog/introduction-to-support-vector-machines-svm/. Accessed 19 July 2023
- Steenkamp V, Stewart MJ (2007) Medicinal applications and toxicological activities of *Aloe* products. Pharm Biol 45(5):411–420. https://doi.org/10. 1080/13880200701215307
- Temesgen F, Bikila W, Alemayehu H (2022) Seasonal land use/land cover change and the drivers in Kafta Sheraro national park, Tigray, Ethiopia. Heliyon 8:e12298
- Tesfamariam BG, Berhan G, Farid M (2022) MaxEnt-based modeling of suitable habitat for rehabilitation of *Podocarpus* forest at landscape-scale. Environ Syst Res 11:4. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40068-022-00248-6
- Tigist A, Rekik A, Hirut B, Eyob D, Abiy A, Asfaw M, Samuel W (2019) Phytochemical-constituents, safety and efficacy of commonly used medicinal plants for the treatment of malaria in Ethiopia—a review. Pharm

Pharmacol Int J 7(6):284–295. https://doi.org/10.15406/ppij.2019.07. 00266

- Tshabalala T, Ncube B, Moyo HP, Abdel-ahman EM, Mutanga O, Ndhlala AR (2020) Predicting the spatial suitability distribution of Moringa oleifera cultivation using analytical hierarchical process modeling. South African J Bot 129:161–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.04.010
- Urban MC, Bocedi G, Hendry AP, Mihoub JB, Pe'er G et al (2016) Improving the forecast for biodiversity under climate change. Science 353:aad8466. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad8466
- Urziceanu MM, Alina GC, Eugenia N, Alma LN, Dragoş ŞM et al (2022) Assessing the invasion risk of *Humulus scandens* using ensemble species distribution modeling and habitat connectivity analysis. Plants 11:857. https:// doi.org/10.3390/plants11070857
- Venables WN, Smith DM (2022) An introduction to R: notes on R, a programming environment for data analysis and graphics. Version 4.2.1
- Wang HH, Carissa LW, Michael LT, William EG, Fred ES, William ER (2015) Species distribution modelling for conservation of an endangered endemic orchid. AoB Plants 7:plv039. https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plv039
- Weber O, Sebsebe D (2013a) *Aloe ankoberensis*. The IUCN red list of threatened species 2013: E.T201380A2703683. 8235.
- Weber O, Sebsebe D (2013b) Aloe debrana. The IUCN red list of threatened species 2013; E.T201394A2704739. 8235.
- Weelden C, Towers JR, Bosker T (2021) Impacts of climate change on cetacean distribution, habitat and migration. Clim Change Ecol 1:100009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecochg.2021.100009
- Weiskopf SR, Madeleine AR, Lisa GC, Sarah G, Roger G, Jessica EH, Kimberly JWH et al (2020) Climate change effects on biodiversity, ecosystems, ecosystem services, and natural resource management in the United States. Sci Total Environ 733:137782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2020.137782
- West AM, Evangelista PH, Jarnevich CS, Young NE, Stohlgren TJ, Talbert C, Talbert M, Morisette J, Anderson R (2016) Integrating remote sensing with species distribution models; mapping tamarisk invasions using the software for assisted habitat modeling (SAHM). J Vis Exp 116:e54578
- Wilson JR, Foxcroft LC, Geerts S, Hoffman MT, MacFadyen S, Measey J (2020) The role of environmental factors in promoting and limiting biological invasions in South Africa. Biological Invasions in South Africa. Springer, pp 355–385
- Xu YD, Huang Y, Zhao HR, Yang ML, Zhuang YQ, Ye XP (2021) Modelling the effects of climate change on the distribution of endangered *Cypripe-dium japonicum* in China. Forests 12:429. https://doi.org/10.3390/f1204 0429
- Yericho B, Nega T, Sintayehu DW (2022) Predicting the current and future suitable habitats for endemic and endangered Ethiopian wolf using MaxEnt Model. Heliyon 8(8):e10223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon. 2022.e10223
- Zahoor B, Liu X, Kumar L, Dai Y, Tripathy BR, Songer M (2021) Projected shifts in the distribution range of Asiatic black bear (*Ursus thibetanus*) in the Hindu Kush Himalaya due to climate change. Ecol Inf 63:101312
- Zahra S, Naghmeh S, Bibi MR, Hossein H (2019) *Aloe vera* as an herbal medicine in the treatment of metabolic syndrome: a review. Phytother Res 33(10):2649–2660. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.6465

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.